

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,316	07/17/2003	Robert W. Childers	DI-5766	3437	
29200 7	590 02/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION			BIANCO, PATRICIA		
1 BAXTER PA DF2-2E	ARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	DEERFIELD, IL 60015		3761		

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/623,316	CHILDERS ET AL	.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia M. Bianco	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appr Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dijected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. /						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	eu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: <u>See Continua</u>		,			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Restriction/Election Requirement.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-26 & 35-50, drawn to a dialysis system and method, classified in class 604, subclass 5.01.
- II. Claims 27*-34, drawn to a cassette, classified in class 210, subclass 646.
- III. Claims 51-56, drawn to methods for using and performing peritoneal dialysis, classified in class 604, subclass 29.
- IV. Claims 57-61, drawn to a method for performing peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- * Please Note: Claim 27 is not fully presented. The first few lines of claim 27 are not in the application. Please correct this upon responding to the Election/Restriction Requirement.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I & II and I & IIII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention the subcombination has separate utility such as a tubing set for an irrigation-pump fluid delivery system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/623,316

Art Unit: 3761

Inventions I & III and II & III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be

Page 3

shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different

modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP §

808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation,

which result in different treatment effects.

Inventions I & IV and II & IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be

shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different

modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP §

808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation,

which result in different treatment effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant chooses to elect the invention of Group I, a further election of species

is required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Figure 1

Species B: Figure 3

Species C: Figure 4

Species D: Figure 8

Application/Control Number: 10/623,316 Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

Species E: Figure 9

If applicant chooses to elect the invention of Group II, a further election of species is required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species F: Figure 5

Species G: Figure 6

Species H: Figure 7

Species I: Figure 10

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/623,316

Art Unit: 3761

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia M. Bianco whose telephone number is (571) 272-4940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00-6:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,316

Art Unit: 3761

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 2nd, 2006

Patricia M Bianco Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761

PATRICIA BIANCO PRIMARY EXAMINER